

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,910	09/27/2001	Kiyoshi Yamaura	112857-301	3249
29175 75	590 06/09/2005		EXAMINER	
•	& LLOYD, LLC		YUAN, DA	AH WEI D
P. O. BOX 113 CHICAGO, IL	<del>-</del>		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1745	
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/964,910	YAMAURA ET AL	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dah-Wei D. Yuan	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence ac	Idress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF		•	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comfollowing time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ol>	llowing replies: (1) an amendr Notice of Appeal (with appeal npliance with 37 CFR 1.114. T	nent, affidavit, or other evi fee) in compliance with 37	idence, which 7 CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07		INE FIKST KEPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding amount of statutory period for reply originally s ths after the mailing date of the fina	the fee. The appropriate extenet in the final Office action; or (all rejection, even if timely filed, in	sion fee under 37 (2) as set forth in (b) may reduce any
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in color of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply mus <u>AMENDMENTS</u></li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41	1,37(e)), to avoid dismissa	l of the appeal.
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bappeal; and/or</li> <li>They present additional claims without canceling</li> </ol>	consideration and/or search (selow); petter form for appeal by mate	see NOTE below); rially reducing or simplifyi	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1	· -	iany rejected craims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1	`	Non-Compliant Amendme	ent (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	e allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amend	dment canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8,10-14 and 16-26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 27-32.	a) 🛛 will not be entered, or b provided below or appended.	) 🗌 will be entered and a	an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ul> <li>9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess</li> <li>10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ul>	o overcome <u>all</u> rejections unde sary and was not earlier preser	er appeal and/or appellant nted. See 37 CFR 41.33(o	fails to provide a
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered	but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for allo	wance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s	s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449)	Paper No(s)	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The recitation "a thickness ranging from about 2 um to about 4 um" in claims 8,14,21 is a new issue that would require further consideration.

DAH-WEI YUAN PRIMARY EXAMINER